

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

November 10, 2010

Administrative Center Room 3220

11:30 a.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT: Dennis Montabon, Bill Hammes, Tim Gruenke, Tom Locante, Ramona Gonzalez, Jane Klekamp
MEMBERS EXCUSED: Steve Helgeson, Steve Doyle, Steve O'Malley
OTHERS PRESENT: Pat Brummond, Elliott Levine, Terri Pavlic

CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order at 11:40 a.m.

APPROVAL OF OCTOBER 13, 2010 MINUTES OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE MANAGEMENT EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

The October 13, 2010 CJMC Executive Committee minutes were approved as submitted.

PUBLIC COMMENT

There was no public comment.

CJMC VICE-CHAIR

After Judge Perlich's resignation, the CJMC decided that we should have a vice-chair in addition to Judge Montabon. This committee agreed that this be handled at the next regular CJMC meeting.

OXFORD MODEL FOR WOMEN

Jane received some information from the Oxford House. Oxford Houses are self run and applications are made to the National Oxford House, and that is usually completed by recovering people who are willing to be charter members. The only rule of the house is that a person can't drink or do drugs. The criminal justice system doesn't usually sponsor an Oxford House – it would be done by citizen members.

Jane will be discussing housing issues with the new Human Services Director, Jason Witt, because the TJC Initiative is encouraging wraparound services for the higher risk/higher need inmates. There are budgeted funds that could be used for wraparound services. This would be built in to a case management model through the TJC Initiative. Jane would prefer, rather than invest a lot of energy into an Oxford House model at this point, to focus on funding housing with this money. CHJS would do the case management and a community organization would supervise housing.

AIM SURVEY RESULTS

Jane distributed and discussed the AIM reports that were sent to us. The first section is the Format of the AIM Report (which was sent only to judges); the second section is the Awareness and Purpose of the AIM Project; the third section is Content of AIM Project; the fourth section is the AIM Process; the fifth section is Training Needs; and last is the Respondents Background.

EVIDENCE BASED DECISION MAKING

Jane had discussed with Mark Carey whether or not we could still get into the training at the national level even though we weren't chosen (if we paid ourselves), but they didn't have room. Jane has tried to reach Mark Carey to discuss with him the possibility of him coming

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE
November 10, 2010
Page 2

to La Crosse to do the training here and what the cost would be but she hasn't been able to connect with him yet.

The committee focused on one page in the workbook, "A Framework for Evidence Based Decision Making in Local Criminal Justice Systems" which states basically what they want each jurisdiction to work on. It included inputs, activities, outputs, short-term outcomes and impacts. It was discussed whether or not we want to bring someone in to train us on this? After much discussion Jane will contact Mark Carey and ask him how to approach this topic with all of the layers in the system.

ADJOURN

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 1:12 p.m.

Next Meeting Date: December 8th at 11:30 a.m. to 1:00 in the Administrative Center Room 3220.

The above minutes may be approved, amended or corrected at the next Council meeting.

Recorded by Terri Pavlic